arXiv:1304.2466v1 [math.PR] 9 Apr 2013

PARAMETER ESTIMATION BASED ON DISCRETE OBSERVATIONS OF FRACTIONAL ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK PROCESS OF THE SECOND KIND

EHSAN AZMOODEH AND LAURI VIITASAARI

Abstract. Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind (fOU$_2$) is solution of the Langevin equation $\mathrm{d}X_t = -\theta X_t\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Y_t^{(1)}$, $\theta > 0$ with driving noise $Y_t^{(1)} := \int_0^t e^{-s}\,\mathrm{d}B_{a_s}$; $a_t = He^{\frac{t}{H}}$ where B is a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (0,1)$. In this article, we consider the case $H > \frac{1}{2}$. Then using ergodicity of fOU$_2$, we construct consistent estimators of drift parameter θ based on discrete observations in both cases: (i) the Hurst parameter H is known and (ii) the Hurst parameter H is unknown. Moreover, using Malliavin calculus technique, we prove central limit theorems for our estimators which is valid for the whole range $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$.

Keywords: fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, Malliavin calculus, parameter estimation.

2010 AMS subject classification: 60G22, 60H07, 62F99

1. Introduction

Assume $B = \{B_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (0,1)$, i.e a continuous, centered Gaussian process with covariance function

$$R_H(s,t) = \frac{1}{2}\{s^{2H} + t^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}\}, \quad s,t \geq 0.$$

Consider the following Langevin equation

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = -\theta X_t\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}N_t, \quad \theta > 0, \tag{1.1}$$

with some driving Gaussian noise N. When driving noise $N = B$ is fractional Brownian motion, solution of the Langevin equation (1.1) is called fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the first kind, in short (fOU$_1$). Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind is solution of the Langevin equation (1.1), when driving noise $N_t = Y_t^{(1)} = \int_0^t e^{-s}\,\mathrm{d}B_{a_s}$; $a_t = He^{\frac{t}{H}}$. The terminologies *"of the first kind"* and *"of the second kind"* are taken from Kaarakka and Salminen [9]. The motivation behind fOU$_2$ process is that it is related to Lamperti transformation of fractional Brownian motion. We give more information on fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes in subsection 2.2.

Statistical estimation of drift parameter θ based on data recorded from continuous (discrete) trajectory of X is an interesting problem in realm of mathematical statistics. In the case of fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the first kind, the two popular estimators, maximum likelihood (MLE) and least squares (LSE) estimators based on continuous observations of the process are considered in Kleptsyna and Breton [10] and Hu and Nualart [7] respectively. In this case, it turns out that MLE and LSE provide strong consistent estimators. Moreover their asymptotic normality is proved in [3] for MLE and $H > \frac{1}{2}$ and in [7] for LSE and $H \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$. In the case of fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind, Azmoodeh and Morlanes [2] showed that LSE is a consistent estimator with continuous observations. Moreover a central limit theorem for LSE is obtained for $H > \frac{1}{2}$.

However, from practical point of view, it is natural to assume we have a data collected from process X observed at discrete times. In discrete and fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the first kind case, estimation of drift parameter θ with discretization procedure of integral transform considered by Xiao et. al. in [19] assuming the Hurst parameter H is known. In the same setup, Brouste and Iacus [4] give an estimation procedure that could estimate both drift parameter θ and Hurst parameter H with discrete observations.

In this article, we take the advantage of ergodicity of fOU_2, when $\theta > 0$, to construct consistent estimator of drift parameter θ based on observations of the process in discrete times. Assume that we observe the process in discrete times $0, \Delta_N, 2\Delta_N, \cdots, N\Delta_N$ and $T_N = N\Delta_N$ denotes the length of the "observation window". Our aim is to show that:

(i) when H is known one can construct a strong consistent estimator $\widehat{\theta}$ with asymptotic normality property under the mesh conditions

$$T_N \to \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad N\Delta_N^2 \to 0$$

with arbitrary mesh Δ_N such that $\Delta_N \to 0$ as N tends to infinity.

(ii) when H is unknown one can construct another strong consistent estimator $\widetilde{\theta}$ with asymptotic normality property under restricted mesh condition

$$\Delta_N = N^{-\alpha}, \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4H-2} \wedge 1).$$

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give auxiliary facts on Malliavin calculus and fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. Section 3 is devoted to estimation of drift parameter when H is known. In section 4, we give a short explanation of estimation of Hurst parameter H based on discrete observations. Section 5 deals with estimation of drift parameter when H is unknown. We also collect all technical computations in section appendix A.

2. Auxiliary facts

2.1. **A brief review on Malliavin calculus.** The use of Malliavin calculus to obtain central limit theorem for a sequence of multiple Wiener integrals is well established. In this subsection, we briefly introduce some basic facts on Malliavin calculus with respect to Gaussian processes. For more details, we refer to [1], [15] and [14]. Let W be a Brownian motion and $G = \{G_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ is a continuous centered Gaussian process of the form

$$G_t = \int_0^t K(t,s)\mathrm{d}W_s$$

where the kernel $K,\ 0 < s < t < T$ satisfying $\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \int_0^t K(t,s)^2 \mathrm{d}s < \infty$. A typical example of this type of Gaussian processes is fractional Brownian motion B with Hurst parameter $H \in (0,1)$. It is known that in the case $H > \frac{1}{2}$, the kernel takes the form

$$K_H(t,s) = c_H s^{\frac{1}{2}-H} \int_s^t (u-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} u^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{d}u.$$

Moreover, we have the following inverse relation

$$W_t = B\big((K_H^*)^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]})\big), \tag{2.1}$$

and the operator K_H^* is defined as

$$(K_H^*\varphi)(s) = \int_s^T \varphi(t) \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t}(t,s)\mathrm{d}t.$$

Consider the set $\mathcal{E}$ of all step functions on $[0,T]$. The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ associated to process G is the closure of $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to inner product

$$\langle \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}, \mathbf{1}_{[0,s]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = R_G(t,s),$$

where $R_G(t,s)$ denotes the covariance function of G. Then, the mapping $\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]} \mapsto G_t$ can be extended to an isometry between Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and Gaussian space $\mathcal{H}_1$ associated with Gaussian process G. Consider the space $\mathcal{S}$ of all smooth random variables of the form

$$F = f(G(\varphi_1), \cdots, G(\varphi_n)), \qquad \varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_n \in \mathcal{H}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $f \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any smooth random variable F of the form 2.2, we define its Malliavin derivative $D^{(G)} = D$ as an element of $L^2(\Omega;\mathcal{H})$ by

$$DF = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i f(G(\varphi_1), \cdots, G(\varphi_n))\varphi_i.$$

In particular, $DG_t = \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}$. We denote by $\mathbb{D}_G^{1,2} = \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ the Hilbert space of all square integrable Malliavin derivative random variables as the closure of the set $\mathcal{S}$ of smooth random variables with respect to norm

$$\|F\|_{1,2}^2 = \mathbb{E}|F|^2 + \mathbb{E}(\|DF\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2).$$

The *divergence operator* denoted by $\delta_G = \delta$ is the adjoint operator of Malliavin derivative operator D. For any $\mathcal{H}$-valued random variable $u \in L^2(\Omega;\mathcal{H})$ belongs to the domain $\mathrm{Dom}\delta$ of the divergence operator δ, then the random variable $\delta(u)$ is defined by duality relationship

$$\mathbb{E}(F\delta(u)) = \mathbb{E}\langle DF, u\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \qquad \forall F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}.$$

Also, an element $u \in L^2(\Omega;\mathcal{H})$ belongs to the domain $\mathrm{Dom}\delta$ if and only if

$$\big|\mathbb{E}\langle DF, u\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\big| \le c_u \|F\|_{L^2},$$

for any $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, where c_u is just a constant depending on u. The divergence operator δ is also called Skorokhod integral. It is known that in the setup of Brownian motion it coincides with Ito integral for adapted integrands. Consider the linear operator K^* from $\mathcal{E}$ to $L^2[0,T]$ defined by

$$(K^*\varphi)(s) = \varphi(s)K(T,s) + \int_s^T [\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)]\, K(\mathrm{d}t, s).$$

The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ generated by covariance function of the Gaussian process G can be represented as $\mathcal{H} = (K^*)^{-1}(L^2[0,T])$ and $\mathbb{D}_G^{1,2}(\mathcal{H}) = (K^*)^{-1}\big(\mathbb{D}_W^{1,2}(L^2[0,T])\big)$. For any $n \geq 1$, let $\mathscr{H}_n$ be the nth Wiener chaos of G, i.e. the closed linear subspace of $L^2(\Omega)$ generated by the random variables $\{H_n\left(G(\varphi)\right),\ \varphi \in \mathcal{H},\ \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1\}$, and H_n is the nth Hermite polynomial. It is well known that the mapping $I_n^G(\varphi^{\otimes n}) = n! H_n\left(G(\varphi)\right)$ provides a linear isometry between the symmetric tensor product $\mathcal{H}^{\odot n}$ and subspace $\mathscr{H}_n$.

The next proposition is taken from [16] and provides a central limit theorem for a sequence of multiple Wiener integrals. Let $\mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$ denote the Gaussian distribution with zero mean and variance σ^2. The notation $\xrightarrow{d}$ stands for convergence in distribution.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\{F_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence of random variables in the q-th Wiener chaos, $q \geq 2$, such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}(F_n^2) = \sigma^2$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- **(i):** *F_n converges in distribution to $\mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$ as n tends to infinity.*
- **(ii):** *$\|DF_n\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}$ converges in $L^2(\Omega)$ to $q\sigma^2$ as n tends to infinity.*

2.2. **Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes.** In this subsection, we briefly introduce fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. The main references are [5], and [9]. We mostly focus on fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind. Moreover, we provide some new results on fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind. Let $B = \{B_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (0,1)$. To obtain fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, consider the following Langevin equation

$$dU_t^{(H,\xi_0)} = -\theta U_t^{(H,\xi_0)} dt + dB_t, \tag{2.3}$$

with initial value ξ_0, The solution of the following SDE can be expressed as

$$U_t^{(H,\xi_0)} = e^{-\theta t}\left(\xi_0 + \int_0^t e^{\theta s}\,\mathrm{d}B_s\right). \tag{2.4}$$

Notice that the stochastic integral is understood as a path-wise Riemann-Stieltjes integral. Let $\hat{B}$ denotes a two sided fractional Brownian motion. The special selection

$$\xi_0 := \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\theta s}\,\mathrm{d}\hat{B}_s,$$

for the initial value ξ_0 leads to an unique stationary, Gaussian process $U^{(H)}$ of the form

$$U_t^{(H)} = \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\theta(t-s)}\,\mathrm{d}\hat{B}_s. \tag{2.5}$$

Definition 2.1. *We call the process $U^{(H,\xi_0)}$ given by (2.4) a fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the first kind with initial value ξ_0. The process $U^{(H)}$ defined in (2.5) is called stationary fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the first kind.*

Remark 2.1. *It is shown in [5] that the covariance function of the stationary process $U^{(H)}$ decays like a power function, so it is ergodic and for $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, it exhibits long range dependence.*

Now, we define a new stationary, Gaussian process $X^{(\alpha)}$ by means of Lamperti transformation of fractional Brownian motion B:

$$X_t^{(\alpha)} := e^{-\alpha t} B_{a_t}, \quad t \in R,$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $a_t = \frac{H}{\alpha} e^{\frac{\alpha t}{H}}$. We aim to represent the process $X^{(\alpha)}$ as solution of a Langevin type SDE. For this reason, consider the process Y^{α} defined via

$$Y_t^{(\alpha)} := \int_0^t e^{-\alpha s}\,\mathrm{d}B_{a_s}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

The stochastic integral is understood in as path-wise Riemann-Stieltjes integral. Using the self-similarity property of fractional Brownian motion one can see that the process $Y^{(\alpha)}$ satisfies in the following scaling property

$$\{Y_{t/\alpha}^{(\alpha)}\}_{t\geq 0} \overset{\text{law}}{=} \{\alpha^{-H} Y_t^{(1)}\}_{t\geq 0}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\overset{\text{law}}{=}$ stands for equality in law. Using $Y^{(\alpha)}$ the process $X^{(\alpha)}$ can be viewed as the solution of the following Langevin type SDE

$$\mathrm{d}X_t^{(\alpha)} = -\alpha X_t^{(\alpha)}\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Y_t^{(\alpha)},$$

with random initial value $X_0^{(\alpha)} = B_{a_0} \overset{d}{=} B_{H/\alpha} \sim N(0, (\frac{H}{\alpha})^{2H})$.

Inspired by the scaling property (2.6), we consider the following Langevin equation with $Y^{(1)}$ as the driving noise

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = -\theta X_t\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Y_t^{(1)}, \qquad \theta > 0. \tag{2.7}$$

The solution of the SDE (2.7) is given by

$$X_t = e^{-\theta t}\left(X_0 + \int_0^t e^{\theta s}\,\mathrm{d}Y_s^{(1)}\right) = e^{-\theta t}\left(X_0 + \int_0^t e^{(\theta-1)s}\,\mathrm{d}B_{a_s}\right) \tag{2.8}$$

with $\alpha = 1$ in a_t. Notice that the stochastic integral is understood as path-wise Riemann-Stieltjes integral. The special selection $X_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{(\theta-1)s}\,\mathrm{d}B_{a_s}$ for the initial value X_0 leads to an unique stationary, Gaussian process

$$U_t = e^{-\theta t}\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{(\theta-1)s}\,\mathrm{d}B_{a_s}. \tag{2.9}$$

Definition 2.2. *We call the process X given by* (2.8) *a fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind with initial value X_0. The process U defined in* (2.9) *is called the stationary fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind.*

For the rest of the paper we assume Hurst parameter $H > \frac{1}{2}$.

Proposition 2.2. [9] *The covariance function of the stationary process U decays exponentially and has short range dependence. More precisely*

$$c(t) := \mathbb{E}(U_t U_0) = \left(\exp\Big(- \min\{\theta, \frac{1-H}{H}\}t\Big)\right), \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

Let v_U be the variogram of the stationary process U, i.e.

$$v_U(t) := \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left(U_{t+s} - U_s\right)^2 = c(0) - c(t).$$

The following Lemma tells us the behavior of the variogram function v_U around zero. We will use this lemma in section 4. The $B(x, y)$ stands for complete Beta function with parameters x and y.

Lemma 2.1. *The variogram function v_U satisfies*

$$v_U(t) = Ht^{2H} + r(t), \quad \text{and } r(t) = o(t^{2H}) \text{ as } t \to 0^+.$$

Proof. Using Proposition 3.11 of [9], there exists a constant $C(H, \theta) = H(2H-1)H^{2H(1-\theta)}$ such that

$$c(t) = C(H,\theta)e^{-\theta t}\left(\int_0^{a_t}\int_0^{a_0} (xy)^{(\theta-1)H}|x-y|^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y\right).$$

Denote the term inside parentheses by $\Phi(t)$. Then with some direct computations, one can see

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(t) &= \int_0^{a_t}\int_0^{\frac{a_0}{a_t}y} (xy)^{(\theta-1)H}(y-x)^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y \\ &\quad + \int_0^{a_0}\int_0^{x} (xy)^{(\theta-1)H}(x-y)^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}x \\ &\quad + \int_0^{a_0}\int_{\frac{a_0}{a_t}y}^{y} (xy)^{(\theta-1)H}(y-x)^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \frac{a_0^{2\theta H}}{\theta H}B((\theta-1)H+1, 2H-1) + \frac{1}{2\theta H}(a_t^{2\theta H} - a_0^{2\theta H})\int_0^{\frac{a_0}{a_t}} z^{(\theta-1)H}(1-z)^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}z.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}c(t) &= \frac{(2H-1)H^{2H}}{\theta}B((\theta-1)H+1, 2H-1)e^{-\theta t} \\ &\quad + \frac{(2H-1)H^{2H}}{2\theta}(e^{\theta t} - e^{-\theta t})\int_0^{\frac{a_0}{a_t}} z^{(\theta-1)H}(1-z)^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}z \\ &= c(0) - (2H-1)H^{2H} \times t \times \int_{\frac{a_0}{a_t}}^1 z^{(\theta-1)H}(1-z)^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}z + r_1(t),\end{aligned}$$

where $r_1(t) = o(t^{2H})$ as $t \to 0^+$ and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} r_1(t) &= \frac{(2H-1)H^{2H}}{\theta} B((\theta-1)H+1, 2H-1)\left(e^{-\theta t} - 1 - \theta t\right) \\ &+ \frac{(2H-1)H^{2H}}{2\theta}\left(e^{\theta t} - e^{-\theta t} - 2\theta t\right)\int_0^{\frac{a_0}{a_t}} z^{(\theta-1)H}(1-z)^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}z. \end{aligned}$$

Let $B_{\text{inc}}(p,q,x)$ and ${}_2F_1(a,b,c;x)$ stand for incomplete Beta function and Gauss Hyper-geometric function respectively (see [18] for definition). Using a relation between these two functions ([18], page 289), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\frac{a_0}{a_t}}^1 z^{(\theta-1)H}(1-z)^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}z &= B_{\text{inc}}(2H-1, (\theta-1)H, 1-\frac{a_0}{a_t}) \\ &= \frac{HH^{-2H}}{2H-1} e^{-(\theta+1)t}(a_t - a_0)^{2H-1}\ {}_2F_1((\theta+1)H, 1, 2H; 1-\frac{a_0}{a_t}) \\ &= \frac{HH^{-2H}}{2H-1} e^{-(\theta+1)t}(t^{2H-1} + r_2(t))\ {}_2F_1((\theta+1)H, 1, 2H; 1-\frac{a_0}{a_t}) \\ &= \frac{HH^{-2H}}{2H-1} t^{2H-1} + r_3(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $r_3(t) = o(t^{2H-1})$ as $t \to 0^+$ and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} r_3(t) &= e^{-(\theta+1)t}\ {}_2F_1((\theta+1)H, 1, 2H; 1-\frac{a_0}{a_t})\ r_2(t) \\ &+ \left(e^{-(\theta+1)t} - 1\right)\ {}_2F_1((\theta+1)H, 1, 2H; 1-\frac{a_0}{a_t})\ t^{2H-1} \\ &+ e^{-(\theta+1)t}\left(\ {}_2F_1((\theta+1)H, 1, 2H; 1-\frac{a_0}{a_t}) - 1\right)\ t^{2H-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it is enough to take $r(t) = r_1(t) - (2H-1)H^{2H}\ t\ r_3(t)$ and the claim follows immediately. □

In the general solution (2.8), take $X_0 = 0$. Then the corresponding fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind takes the form

$$X_t = e^{-\theta t}\int_0^t e^{(\theta-1)s}\,\mathrm{d}B_{a_s}. \tag{2.10}$$

Note that, we have the relation

$$U_t = X_t + e^{-\theta t}\xi, \quad \xi = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(\theta-1)s}\mathrm{d}B_{a_s}. \tag{2.11}$$

The following lemma tells us that the fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind X given by (2.10), can be obtained (in distribution sense) with integrating the same function as in fOU_1 with respect to a Gaussian noise violated of fractional Brownian motion with a regular kernel.

Lemma 2.2. [2] *Denote $\tilde{B}_t = B_{t+H} - B_H$ the shifted fractional Brownian motion. Let X be the fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind given by* (2.10)*. Then there exists a regular Volterra-type kernel $\tilde{L}$ such that*

$$X_t \overset{law}{=} \int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-s)}\mathrm{d}\tilde{G}_s \tag{2.12}$$

where the Gaussian process $\tilde{G}$ *is given by*

$$\tilde{G}_t = \int_0^t \left(K_H(t,s) + \tilde{L}(t,s) \right) \mathrm{d}\tilde{W}_s$$

and Brownian motion $\tilde{W}$ *is related to* $\tilde{B}$ *by* (2.1)*.*

Remark 2.2. *Note that by direct computation and applying Lemma 4.3 of* [2]*, the inner product of Hilbert space* $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ *generated by covariance function of Gaussian process* $\tilde{G}$ *is given by*

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \alpha_H H^{2H-2} \int_0^T \int_0^T \varphi(u)\psi(v) e^{(u+v)\left(\frac{1}{H}-1\right)} \left| e^{\frac{u}{H}} - e^{\frac{v}{H}} \right|^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}u$$

where $\alpha_H = H(2H-1)$*.*

The following lemma plays the essential role in the paper. To be more precise, we use this lemma to construct our estimator for drift parameter.

Lemma 2.3. [2] *Let* X *be the fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind given by* (2.10)*. Then as* $T \to \infty$*, we have*

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 \mathrm{d}t \to \Psi(\theta)$$

almost surely and in L^2*, where*

$$\Psi(\theta) = \frac{(2H-1)H^{2H}}{\theta} B((\theta-1)H+1, 2H-1). \tag{2.13}$$

The next lemma studies the regularity of sample paths of fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind X. The Hölder continuity of sample paths is the message of the lemma and more information on Hölder constants is given. See also [9], Proposition 3.4.

Lemma 2.4. *Let* X *be the fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind given by* (2.10)*. Then for every interval* $[S,T]$ *and every* $\epsilon > 0$*, there exist random variables* $Y_1 = Y_1(H,\theta)$*,* $Y_2 = Y_2(H,\theta,[S,T])$*,* $Y_3 = Y_3(H,\theta,[S,T])$*, and* $Y_4 = Y_4(H,\epsilon,[S,T])$ *such that for all* $s,t \in [S,T]$

$$|X_t - X_s| \le (Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3)\,|t-s| + Y_4 |t-s|^{H-\epsilon}$$

almost surely. Moreover,

- **(i):** $Y_1 < \infty$ *almost surely,*
- **(ii):** $Y_k(H,\theta,[S,T]) \overset{law}{=} Y_k(H,\theta,[0,T-S]), \quad k = 2,3,$
- **(iii):** $Y_4(H,\epsilon,[S,T]) \overset{law}{=} Y_4(H,\epsilon,[0,T-S])$*.*

Furthermore, all moments of random variables Y_2*,* Y_3 *and* Y_4 *are finite, and* $Y_2(H,\theta,[0,T])$*,* $Y_3(H,\theta,[0,T])$ *and* $Y_4(H,\epsilon,[0,T])$ *are increasing in* T*.*

Proof. Assume that $s < t$. By change of variables formula, we obtain

$$X_t = e^{-t} B_{a_t} - e^{-\theta t} B_{a_0} - Z_t,$$

where

$$Z_t = e^{-\theta t} \int_0^t B_{a_u} e^{(\theta-1)u} \mathrm{d}u.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}|X_t - X_s| &\leq |B_{a_0}||e^{-\theta t} - e^{-\theta s}| + e^{-t}|B_{a_t} - B_{a_s}| + |B_{a_s}||e^{-t} - e^{-s}| \\ &\quad + \left| e^{-\theta t}\int_0^t B_{a_u} e^{(\theta-1)u}\mathrm{d}u - e^{-\theta s}\int_0^s B_{a_u} e^{(\theta-1)u}\mathrm{d}u \right| \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4.\end{aligned}$$

For the term I_1, we obtain

$$I_1 \leq \theta |B_{a_0}||t-s|$$

where $\theta|B_{a_0}|$ is almost surely finite random variable. For the term I_3, we get

$$I_3 \leq \sup_{u\in[S,T]} e^{-u}|B_{a_u}||t-s|.$$

Note that Z is a differentiable process. Hence for the term I_4, we get

$$I_4 \leq \left[\theta \sup_{u\in[S,T]} |Z_u| + \sup_{u\in[S,T]} e^{-u}|B_{a_u}|\right]|t-s|.$$

Moreover, by using (2.11), we have

$$|X_t| \leq |U_t| + |\xi|.$$

As a result, we obtain

$$|Z_u| \leq |U_u| + |\xi| + |B_{a_0}| + |e^{-u}B_{a_u}|.$$

This implies that

$$I_4 \leq \left[\theta \sup_{u\in[S,T]} |U_u| + \theta|\xi| + \theta|B_{a_0}| + (\theta+1) \sup_{u\in[S,T]} e^{-u}|B_{a_u}|\right]|t-s|.$$

Collecting the estimates for I_1, I_3 and I_4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 + I_3 + I_4 &\leq \left[2\theta|B_{a_0}| + \theta|\xi|\right]|t-s| \\ &\quad + \left[\theta \sup_{u\in[S,T]} |U_u| + (\theta+2) \sup_{u\in[S,T]} e^{-u}|B_{a_u}|\right]|t-s|.\end{aligned}$$

Put

$$Y_1 = 2\theta|B_{a_0}| + \theta|\xi|, \quad Y_2(H,\theta,[S,T]) := \theta \sup_{u\in[S,T]} |U_u|$$

and finally

$$Y_3(H,\theta,[S,T]) := (\theta+2) \sup_{u\in[S,T]} e^{-u}|B_{a_u}|.$$

Obviously for the random variable Y_1 the property **(i)** fulfills. Notice that U_t and $e^{-u}B_{a_t}$ are continuous, stationary Gaussian processes. So the property **(ii)** follows. Moreover, all moments of supremum of a continuous Gaussian process on a compact interval are finite (see [11] for details on supremum of continuous Gaussian process). So, it remains to consider the term I_2. By Hölder continuity of the sample paths of fractional Brownian motion, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}I_2 &\leq e^{-t}C(\omega, H, \epsilon, [S,T])|a_t - a_s|^{H-\epsilon} \\ &\leq C(\omega, H, \epsilon, [S,T])|t-s|^{H-\epsilon}.\end{aligned}$$

To conclude, we obtain (see [17] and remark below) that the random variable $C(\omega, H, \epsilon, [S,T])$ has all the moments and $C(\omega, H, \epsilon, [S,T]) \overset{\text{law}}{=} C(\omega, H, \epsilon, [0, T-S])$. Now it is enough to take $Y_4 = C(\omega, H, \epsilon, [S,T])$. ☐

Remark 2.3. [17] *The exact form of the random variable* $C(\omega, H, \epsilon, [0,T])$ *is given by*

$$C(\omega, H, \epsilon, [0,T]) = C_{H,\epsilon} T^{H-\epsilon} \left(\int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{|B_t - B_s|^{\frac{2}{\epsilon}}}{|t-s|^{\frac{2H}{\epsilon}}} \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}s \right)^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}},$$

where $C_{H,\epsilon}$ *is a constant. We also have* $\mathbb{E} C(\omega, H, \epsilon, [0,T])^p \leq c_{\epsilon,p} T^{\epsilon p}$ *for some constant* $c_{\epsilon,p}$.

3. Estimation of drift parameter when H is known

We start with the fact on invertibility of the function Ψ which allows us to construct an estimator for drift parameter θ.

Lemma 3.1. *As a function* $\Psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$, $\Psi(\theta)$ *given by (2.13) is bijective, and hence invertible.*

Proof. Evidently, for $\theta > 0$ we have $\Psi(\theta) > 0$. Since Beta function is differentiable with respect to its arguments, so the function Ψ is continuous and differentiable in θ. It is also evident that $\Psi(\theta)$ converges to infinity as θ tends to zero and $\Psi(\theta)$ converges to zero as θ tends to infinity. Hence Ψ is surjective. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi'(\theta) &= -\frac{(2H-1)H^{2H}}{\theta^2} B((\theta-1)H+1, 2H-1) \\ &+ \frac{(2H-1)H^{2H}}{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} B((\theta-1)H+1, 2H-1) < 0,\end{aligned}$$

because $B(x,y)$ is decreasing in x. Therefore Ψ is strictly decreasing and hence injective, which completes the proof. ☐

We continue with the following central limit theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* X *be the fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind given by* (2.10). *Then an* T *tends to infinity, we have*

$$\sqrt{T} \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 \mathrm{d}t - \Psi(\theta) \right) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

where the variance σ^2 *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^2 = \frac{2\alpha_H^2 H^{4H-4}}{\theta^2} \int_{[0,\infty)^3} &\Big[e^{-\theta x - \theta|y-z|} e^{\left(1-\frac{1}{H}\right)(x+y+z)} \\ &\times \left(1 - e^{-\frac{y}{H}}\right)^{2H-2} \left| e^{-\frac{x}{H}} - e^{-\frac{z}{H}} \right|^{2H-2} \Big] \mathrm{d}z \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y.\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

The proof relies on two lemmas proved in the appendix where we also show that $\sigma^2 < \infty$. The variance σ^2 is given as iterated integral over $[0,\infty)^3$ and the given equation is probably the most compact form.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. For further use, put

$$F_T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} I_2^{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{g}), \tag{3.2}$$

where the symmetric two variables function $\tilde{g}$ is given by

$$\tilde{g}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\theta}\left[e^{-\theta|x-y|} - e^{-\theta(2T-x-y)}\right].$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$X_t \overset{\text{law}}{=} I_1^{\tilde{G}}\left(h(t,\cdot)\right), \quad h(t,s) = e^{-\theta(t-s)}\mathbf{1}_{s\leq t}.$$

Using multiplication formula for multiple Wiener integrals and Fubini's theorem, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T X_t^2 \mathrm{d}t &\overset{\text{law}}{=} \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \|h(t,\cdot)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}^2 \mathrm{d}t + \frac{1}{T} I_2^{\tilde{G}}\left(\int_0^T \left(h(t,\cdot)\tilde{\otimes} h(t,\cdot)\right)\mathrm{d}t\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \mathbb{E}X_t^2 \mathrm{d}t + \frac{1}{T} I_2^{\tilde{G}}\left(\tilde{g}\right).\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\sqrt{T}\left(\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T X_t^2 \mathrm{d}t - \Psi(\theta)\right) \overset{\text{law}}{=} \sqrt{T}\left(\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \mathbb{E}X_t^2 \mathrm{d}t - \Psi(\theta)\right) + F_T. \tag{3.3}$$

Next, we note that (see [2])

$$\Psi(\theta) = \mathbb{E}U_0^2 = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \mathbb{E}U_0^2 \mathrm{d}t.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \mathbb{E}X_t^2 \mathrm{d}t - \Psi(\theta) &= \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \left(\mathbb{E}X_t^2 - \mathbb{E}U_0^2\right)\mathrm{d}t\\ &= \mathbb{E}U_0^2 \, \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T e^{-2\theta t}\mathrm{d}t - \frac{2}{T}\int_0^T e^{-\theta t}\mathbb{E}(U_t U_0)\mathrm{d}t.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Proposition 2.2, we obtain that as T tends to infinity

$$\sqrt{T}\left(\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \mathbb{E}X_t^2 \mathrm{d}t - \Psi(\theta)\right) \to 0 \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$F_T \overset{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2), \quad \text{as} \quad T \to \infty.$$

Now by Lemmas A.1, and A.2 in the Appendix A, we have

$$\|D_s F_T\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}^2 \xrightarrow{L^2} 2\sigma^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}(F_T^2) = \frac{2}{T}\|\tilde{g}\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\otimes 2}}^2 \longrightarrow \sigma^2.$$

So the result follows by applying Proposition 2.1. □

Now we are ready to state the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume we observe X_t at discrete points $\{t_k = k\Delta_N, k = 0, 1, \dots, N\}$ and $T_N = N\Delta_N$. Assume we have $\Delta_N \to 0$, $T_N \to \infty$ and $N\Delta_N^2 \to 0$ as N tends to infinity. Put*

$$\widehat{\mu}_{2,N} = \frac{1}{T_N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} X_{t_k}^2 \Delta t_k \quad \textit{and} \quad \widehat{\theta}_N := \Psi^{-1}\left(\widehat{\mu}_{2,N}\right). \tag{3.5}$$

Then $\widehat{\theta}$ is a strongly consistent estimator of drift parameter θ in the sense that as N tends to infinity, we have

$$\widehat{\theta}_N \longrightarrow \theta \tag{3.6}$$

almost surely, where Ψ^{-1} is the inverse of function Ψ given in (2.13). Moreover, as N tends to infinity, we have

$$\sqrt{T_N}(\widehat{\theta}_N - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_\theta^2) \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\sigma_\theta^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{[\Psi'(\theta)]^2} \tag{3.8}$$

and σ^2 is given by (3.1).

For the proof of Theorem 3.2, we need the following version of strong law of large numbers. For the proof, see [13].

Lemma 3.2. *Let Z_k be a sequence of random variables such that*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}[Z_k Z_j] \leq C N^{\beta} \tag{3.9}$$

for some constant $C < \infty$ and $\beta \in (1, \infty)$. Then for any $\gamma > \frac{\beta}{2}$

$$\frac{1}{N^\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{N} Z_k \to 0 \tag{3.10}$$

almost surely as N tends to infinity.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Applying Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{T_N}\Big|\widehat{\mu}_{2,N}-\frac{1}{T_N}\int_0^{T_N}X_t^2\mathrm{d}t\Big| &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{T_N}}\left|\sum_{k=1}^N\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}(X_{t_k}^2-X_t^2)\mathrm{d}t\right|\\
&\le \frac{2}{\sqrt{T_N}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^N\sup_{u\in[t_{k-1},t_k]}|X_u|\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}|X_{t_k}-X_t|\mathrm{d}t\right)\\
&\le \frac{2Y_1(H,\theta)}{\sqrt{T_N}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^N\sup_{u\in[t_{k-1},t_k]}|X_u|\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}(t_k-t)\mathrm{d}t\right)\\
&+\frac{2}{\sqrt{T_N}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^N\sup_{u\in[t_{k-1},t_k]}|X_u|Y_2(H,\theta,[t_{k-1},t_k])\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}(t_k-t)\mathrm{d}t\right)\\
&+\frac{2}{\sqrt{T_N}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^N\sup_{u\in[t_{k-1},t_k]}|X_u|Y_3(H,\theta,[t_{k-1},t_k])\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}(t_k-t)\mathrm{d}t\right)\\
&+\frac{2}{\sqrt{T_N}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^N\sup_{u\in[t_{k-1},t_k]}|X_u|Y_4(H,\epsilon,[t_{k-1},t_k])\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k}(t_k-t)^{H-\epsilon}\mathrm{d}t\right)\\
&=: I_1+I_2+I_3+I_4.
\end{aligned}$$

We begin with I_4. Define the random sequence $\{Z_k\}_{k\ge1}$ as follows

$$Z_k=\sup_{u\in[t_{k-1},t_k]}|X_u|\ Y_4(H,\epsilon,[t_{k-1},t_k]).$$

Then using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and Lemma 2.4, we obtain that for every j and k

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[Z_kZ_j] &\le \Big(\mathbb{E}[\sup_{u\in[t_{k-1},t_k]}|X_u|]^4\Big)^{\frac14}\Big(\mathbb{E}[\sup_{u\in[t_{j-1},t_j]}|X_u|]^4\Big)^{\frac14}\\
&\times\Big(\mathbb{E}[Y_4(H,\epsilon,[t_{k-1},t_k])]^4\Big)^{\frac14}\Big(\mathbb{E}[Y_4(H,\epsilon,[t_{j-1},t_j])]^4\Big)^{\frac14}\\
&\le C
\end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant independent of j and k. Moreover, we can take C to be independent of N, because of the fact

$$\sup_{u\in[t_0,t_1]}|X_u|\le\sup_{u\in[0,1]}|X_u|$$

and

$$Y_4(H,\epsilon,[t_0,t_1])\le Y_4(H,\epsilon,[0,1]).$$

Now we apply Lemma 3.2 with $\beta=2$ to obtain that

$$\frac{1}{N^\gamma}\sum_{k=1}^N\sup_{u\in[t_{k-1},t_k]}|X_u|Y_4(H,\epsilon,[t_{k-1},t_k])\longrightarrow0$$

almost surely for any $\gamma > 1$. Take now γ such that $1 < \gamma < \frac{3}{4} + \frac{H-\epsilon}{2}$ with an enough small $\epsilon > 0$. With this choice, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &\leq \frac{2}{H-\epsilon+1}\sqrt{T_N}\Delta_N^{H-\epsilon}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\sup_{u\in[t_{k-1},t_k]}|X_u|Y_4(H,\epsilon,[t_{k-1},t_k]) \\ &= \frac{2}{H-\epsilon+1}\sqrt{T_N}\Delta_N^{H-\epsilon}N^{\gamma-1}\frac{1}{N^\gamma}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\sup_{u\in[t_{k-1},t_k]}|X_u|Y_4(H,\epsilon,[t_{k-1},t_k]) \\ &\longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

almost surely, because the condition $N\Delta_N^2 \to 0$ implies that

$$\sqrt{T_N}\Delta_N^{H-\epsilon}N^{\gamma-1} = \left(N\Delta_N^{\frac{2H+1-2\epsilon}{2\gamma-1}}\right)^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(N\Delta_N^2\right)^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} \to 0.$$

Treating I_1, I_2, and I_3 in a similar way, we deduce that

$$\sqrt{T_N}\left|\widehat{\mu}_{2,N} - \frac{1}{T_N}\int_0^{T_N} X_t^2 \mathrm{d}t\right| \to 0 \tag{3.11}$$

almost surely. Moreover, we have convergence (3.6) by Lemma 2.3. To conclude the proof, we set $\mu = \Psi(\theta)$ and use Taylor's theorem to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{T_N}\left(\widehat{\theta}_N - \theta\right) &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\mu}\Psi^{-1}(\mu)\sqrt{T_N}\left(\widehat{\mu}_{2,N} - \Psi(\theta)\right) \\ &\quad + R_1(\widehat{\mu}_{2,N})\sqrt{T_N}\left(\widehat{\mu}_{2,N} - \Psi(\theta)\right) \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\mu}\Psi^{-1}(\mu)\sqrt{T_N}\left(\frac{1}{T_N}\int_0^{T_N} X_t^2\mathrm{d}t - \Psi(\theta)\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\mu}\Psi^{-1}(\mu)\sqrt{T_N}\left(\widehat{\mu}_{2,N} - \frac{1}{T_N}\int_0^{T_N} X_t^2\mathrm{d}t\right) \\ &\quad + R_1(\widehat{\mu}_{2,N})\sqrt{T_N}\left(\widehat{\mu}_{2,N} - \Psi(\theta)\right) \end{aligned}$$

for some reminder function $R_1(x)$ such that $R_1(x) \to 0$ when $x \to \Psi(\theta)$. Now, by continuity of $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\mu}\Psi^{-1}$ and Ψ^{-1}, we have that R_1 is also continuous. Hence the result follows by using (3.11), Theorem 3.1, Slutsky's theorem and the fact that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\mu}\Psi^{-1}(\mu) = \frac{1}{\Psi'(\theta)}.$$

□

Remark 3.1. *Note that we obtain a consistent estimator which depends on the inverse of function Ψ. Despite we proved that such inverse exists, up to out knowledge there exists not an explicit formula for the inverse. Hence, the inverse must be computed numerically.*

Remark 3.2. *The Theorem 3.2 imposes different conditions on the mesh Δ_N to obtain strong consistency of the estimator $\widehat{\theta}$. One possible choice for the mesh satisfying in such conditions is $\Delta_N = \frac{\log N}{N}$.*

Remark 3.3. *Note that we obtained strong consistency of the estimator $\widehat{\theta}$ without assuming uniform discretization of the partition. The uniform* *discretization will play role in estimating the Hurst parameter H and for analysis of the estimator $\widetilde{\theta}$, see sections 4 and 5.*

4. ESTIMATION OF HURST PARAMETER H

There are different approaches to estimate Hurst parameter H of fractional processes. Among all, here we consider an approach which is based on filtering of discrete observations of a sample path of process. For more details we refer to [8] and [6].

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_L) \in \mathbb{R}^{L+1}$ be a filter of length $L+1, L \in \mathbb{N}$, and of order $p \geq 1$, i.e. for all indices $0 \leq q < p$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{L} a_j j^q = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=0}^{L} a_j j^p \neq 0.$$

We define the dilated filter $\mathbf{a}^2$ associated to filter $\mathbf{a}$ by

$$a_k^2 = \begin{cases} a_{k'}, & k = 2k' \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq 2L$. Assume we observe X_t at discrete points $\{t_k = k\Delta_N, k = 1, \ldots, N\}$, such that the mesh $\Delta_N \to 0$ as N tends to infinity. Denote the generalized quadratic variations associated to filter $\mathbf{a}$ by

$$V_{N,\mathbf{a}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-L} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{L} a_j X_{(i+j)\Delta_N} \right)^2 .$$

We consider the estimator $\widehat{H}_N$ given by

$$\widehat{H}_N = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \frac{V_{N,\mathbf{a}^2}}{V_{N,\mathbf{a}}}. \tag{4.1}$$

Assumption (A):
We assume that for any real number r such that $0 < r < 2p$ and r is not an even integer

$$\sum_{i=0}^{L} \sum_{j=0}^{L} a_i a_j |i-j|^r \neq 0.$$

Example 4.1. *A typical example of a filter with finite order satisfying in assumption **(A)** is $\boldsymbol{a} = (1, -2, 1)$ with $p = 2$.*

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\boldsymbol{a}$ be a filter of order $p \geq 2$ satisfying in assumption **(A)**, and the mesh $\Delta_N = N^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4H-2})$. Then*

$$\widehat{H}_N \longrightarrow H$$

almost surely as N tends to infinity. Moreover, as N tends to infinity, we have

$$\sqrt{N}(\widehat{H}_N - H)) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \Gamma(H, \theta, \boldsymbol{a}))$$

where the variance Γ depends on H, θ and the filter $\boldsymbol{a}$ and is explicitly computed in [6] *and also given in* [4].

Remark 4.1. *It is worth to mention that when* $H < \frac{3}{4}$*, it is not necessary to assume that the observation window* $T_N = N\Delta_N$ *tends to infinity, whereas when* $H \geq \frac{3}{4}$*, we have to have* T_N *tends to infinity, see* [8]*. Notice that* $H \geq \frac{3}{4}$ *if and only if* $\frac{1}{4H-2} \leq 1$*.*

Proof. Let v_U denote the variogram of the process v_U. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$v_U = Ht^{2H} + r(t)$$

as $t \to 0$ where $r(t) = o(t^{2H})$. Moreover, we have that $r(t)$ is differentiable and direct calculations shows that

$$r^{(4)}(t) \leq G|t|^{2H+1-\epsilon-4}.$$

Hence the claim follows by following the proof in [4] for the fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the first kind and applying the results of Istas and Lang [8]. To conclude, we note that the given variance is computed in ([6], p. 223).

□

5. ESTIMATION OF DRIFT PARAMETER WHEN H IS UNKNOWN

Instead of $\Psi(\theta)$, we consider $\Psi(\theta, H)$ to take into account the dependence on H. Let $\mu = \Psi(\theta, H)$ and define a new function

$$\tilde{f}(\theta, H, \mu) = \Psi(\theta, H) - \mu.$$

Then implicit function theorem implies that there exists continuously differentiable function $g(\mu, H)$ such that

$$g(\mu, H) = \theta$$

where θ is the unique solution to $\mu = \Psi(\theta, H)$. So for every fixed H, we have

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \mu}(\mu, H) = \frac{1}{\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, H)}.$$

Moreover, by chain rule we obtain

$$0 = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}H} g(\Psi(\theta, H), H) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial H} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mu}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial H}.$$

Here $\frac{\partial g}{\partial \mu}$ and $\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial H}$ are known and hence we can compute $\frac{\partial g}{\partial H}$. Let $\widehat{H}_N$ be given by (4.1) for some filter $\mathbf{a}$ of order $p \geq 2$ satisfying assumption **(A)** and $\widehat{\mu}_{2,N}$ by (3.5). We consider the estimator

$$\widetilde{\theta}_N = g(\widehat{\mu}_{2,N}, \widehat{H}_N). \tag{5.1}$$

Now with all above assumptions and the notations, we have the following result.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that the mesh* $\Delta_N = N^{-\alpha}$ *for some number* $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4H-2} \wedge 1)$*. Then the estimator* $\widetilde{\theta}_N$ *given by* (5.1) *is strongly consistent, i.e. as* N *tends to infinity, we have*

$$\widetilde{\theta}_N \longrightarrow \theta \tag{5.2}$$

almost surely. Moreover the following central limit theorem

$$\sqrt{T_N}\left(\widetilde{\theta}_N - \theta\right) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma_\theta^2) \tag{5.3}$$

holds as N tends to infinity, where variance σ_θ^2 is given by (3.8).

Proof. First note that

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{T_N}\left(\widetilde{\theta}_N - \theta\right) &= \sqrt{T_N}\left(g(\widehat{\mu}_{2,N},\widehat{H}_N) - g(\widehat{\mu}_{2,N},H)\right)\\ &\quad + \sqrt{T_N}\Big(g(\widehat{\mu}_{2,N},H) - g(\mu,H)\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Now convergence

$$\sqrt{T_N}\Big(g(\widehat{\mu}_{2,N},H) - g(\mu,H)\Big) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma_\theta^2)$$

is in fact Theorem 3.2. Moreover, we get by Taylor's theorem that

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{T_N}\Big(g(\widehat{\mu}_{2,N},\widehat{H}_N) - g(\widehat{\mu}_{2,N},H)\Big) &= \frac{\partial g}{\partial H}(\widehat{\mu}_{2,N},H)\sqrt{T_N}(\widehat{H}_N - H)\\ &\quad + \frac{\partial g}{\partial H}(\widehat{\mu}_{2,N},H)R_2(\widehat{\mu}_{2,N},\widehat{H}_N)\sqrt{T_N}(\widehat{H}_N - H)\end{aligned}$$

for some reminder function R_2 which converges to zero as $(\hat{\mu}_{2,N},\hat{H}_N) \to (\mu, H)$. Therefore, by continuity and Theorem 4.1, as N tends to infinity, we obtain

$$\sqrt{T_N}\left(g(\widehat{\mu}_{2,N},\widehat{H}_N) - g(\widehat{\mu}_{2,N},H)\right) \longrightarrow 0$$

in probability. Hence, using Slutsky's theorem we obtain

$$\sqrt{T_N}\left(\widehat{\theta}_N - \theta\right) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma_\theta^2).$$

To conclude the proof, we obtain (5.2) from equation (5.4) by continuous mapping theorem. □

Acknowledgements.
The authors thank Lasse Leskelä for useful discussions and comments. Lauri Viitasaari thanks the Finnish Doctoral Programme in Stochastics and Statistics for financial support. Azmoodeh is supported by research project F1R-MTH-PUL-12PAMP.

APPENDIX A. COMPUTATIONS USED IN THE PAPER

Lemma A.1. *For F_T given by (3.2) we have*

$$\|D_sF_T\|^2_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \xrightarrow{L^2} 2\sigma^2. \tag{A.1}$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|D_sF_T\|^2_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} - \mathbb{E}\|D_sF_T\|^2_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}\right]^2 \to 0. \tag{A.2}$$

This implies

$$\|D_sF_T\|^2_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \overset{L^2}{\to} \mathbb{E}\|D_sF_T\|^2_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = 2\mathbb{E}(F_T^2),$$

which in turn implies (A.1). Now we have

$$D_sF_T = \frac{2}{\sqrt{T}} I_1^{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{g}(s,\cdot)).$$

Hence using the Remark 2.2, we can write

$$\|D_sF_T\|^2_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \frac{4\alpha_H H^{2H-2}}{T}\int_0^T\int_0^T I_1^{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{g}(u,\cdot))I_1^{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{g}(v,\cdot)) \times e^{(u+v)\left(\frac{1}{H}-1\right)}\left|e^{\frac{u}{H}}-e^{\frac{v}{H}}\right|^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}u.$$

Using multiplicative formula for multiple Wiener integrals, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{g}(u,\cdot))\ I_1^{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{g}(v,\cdot)) &= \langle\tilde{g}(u,\cdot),\tilde{g}(v,\cdot)\rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} + I_2^{\tilde{G}}\left(\tilde{g}(u,\cdot)\tilde{g}(v,\cdot)\right) \\ &=: A_1 + A_2. \end{aligned}$$

Here A_1 is deterministic and A_2 has expectation zero. Hence, in order to have (A.2), we need to show that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T\int_0^T A_2 e^{(u+v)\left(\frac{1}{H}-1\right)}\left|e^{\frac{u}{H}}-e^{\frac{v}{H}}\right|^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}u\right]^2 \to 0. \tag{A.3}$$

Using Minkowski's inequality for integrals, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T\int_0^T A_2 e^{(u+v)\left(\frac{1}{H}-1\right)}\left|e^{\frac{u}{H}}-e^{\frac{v}{H}}\right|^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}u\right]^2} \\ &\qquad \le \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T\int_0^T \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(A_2)^2}e^{(u+v)\left(\frac{1}{H}-1\right)}\left|e^{\frac{u}{H}}-e^{\frac{v}{H}}\right|^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}u. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that A_2 is a double Wiener integral with respect to process $\tilde{G}$. Hence using isometry property, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(A_2)^2 &= 2\left\|\tilde{g}(u,\cdot)\tilde{g}(v,\cdot)\right\|^2_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}\otimes\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \\ &= 2\alpha_H^2 H^{4H-4}\int_{[0,T]^4}\tilde{g}(u,u_1)\tilde{g}(v,v_1)\tilde{g}(u,u_2)\tilde{g}(v,v_2) \\ &\times e^{(u_1+u_2+v_1+v_2)\left(\frac{1}{H}-1\right)}\left|e^{\frac{u_2}{H}}-e^{\frac{u_1}{H}}\right|^{2H-2}\left|e^{\frac{v_2}{H}}-e^{\frac{v_1}{H}}\right|^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}u_1\mathrm{d}v_1\mathrm{d}u_2\mathrm{d}v_2 \\ &= 2\alpha_H^2 H^{4H-4}\phi(u)\phi(v), \end{aligned}$$

where the function $\phi(u)$ is defined by

$$\phi(u) = \int_0^T\int_0^T \tilde{g}(u,z_1)\tilde{g}(u,z_1)e^{(z_1+z_2)\left(\frac{1}{H}-1\right)} \times\left|e^{\frac{z_2}{H}}-e^{\frac{z_1}{H}}\right|^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}z_1\mathrm{d}z_2.$$

So, it remains to show that

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T\int_0^T\sqrt{\phi(u)\phi(v)}e^{(u+v)\left(\frac{1}{H}-1\right)}\left|e^{\frac{u}{H}}-e^{\frac{v}{H}}\right|^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}u \to 0. \tag{A.4}$$

We begin by showing that $\phi(u)$ is bounded as a function of u. We denote by C a constant which may vary from line to line. First notice that

$$\tilde{g}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\theta}\left[e^{-\theta|x-y|}-e^{-\theta(2T-x-y)}\right] \le C(\theta).$$

Taking account the symmetry and using change of variable $z = e^{-\frac{x}{H}}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
g(u) &\leq C(\theta) \int_0^T \tilde{g}(u,y) \int_0^y e^{(x+y)(\frac{1}{H}-1)} \left(e^{\frac{y}{H}} - e^{\frac{x}{H}}\right)^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y \\
&= C(\theta) \int_0^T \tilde{g}(u,y) \int_{-e^{-\frac{y}{H}}}^1 z^{-H}(1-z)^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}y \\
&\leq C(\theta)B(1-H, 2H-1) \int_0^T \tilde{g}(u,y)\mathrm{d}y.
\end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to see that

$$\int_0^T \tilde{g}(u,y)\mathrm{d}y \leq C(\theta)$$

where the constant is independent of T and u. Hence we have $\phi(u) \leq C(\theta)$ uniformly in u. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \int_0^T \sqrt{\phi(u)\phi(v)} e^{(u+v)(\frac{1}{H}-1)} \left|e^{\frac{u}{H}} - e^{\frac{v}{H}}\right|^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}u \\
&\quad \leq \frac{C}{T}\int_0^T \int_0^u e^{(u+v)(\frac{1}{H}-1)} \left(e^{\frac{u}{H}} - e^{\frac{v}{H}}\right)^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}u \\
&\quad = \frac{C}{T}\left[\int_0^{\sqrt{T}}\int_0^u + \int_{\sqrt{T}}^T \int_0^{\sqrt{T}} + \int_{\sqrt{T}}^T \int_{\sqrt{T}}^u\right] e^{(u+v)(\frac{1}{H}-1)} \left(e^{\frac{u}{H}} - e^{\frac{v}{H}}\right)^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}u \\
&\quad =: J_1 + J_2 + J_3.
\end{aligned}$$

For the term J_1, we obtain by change of variable that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= \frac{1}{T}\int_0^{\sqrt{T}} \int_{e^{-\frac{u}{H}}}^1 z^{-H}(1-z)^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}u \\
&\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{T}} \to 0
\end{aligned}$$

as T tends to infinity. For the term J_2, we obtain by Tonelli's theorem and change of variable that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &= \frac{1}{T}\int_0^{\sqrt{T}} \int_{e^{\frac{v-T}{H}}}^{e^{\frac{v-\sqrt{T}}{H}}} z^{-H}(1-z)^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}v \\
&\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{T}} \to 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the term J_3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3 &= \frac{1}{T}\int_{\sqrt{T}}^T \int_{\sqrt{T}}^u e^{(u+v)(\frac{1}{H}-1)} \left(e^{\frac{u}{H}} - e^{\frac{v}{H}}\right)^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}u \\
&\leq C\,\frac{T-\sqrt{T}}{T}\int_{e^{-\frac{T}{H}}}^{e^{-\frac{\sqrt{T}}{H}}} z^{-H}(1-z)^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}z \to 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Combine the estimates for the terms J_1, J_2 and J_3 together with the fact that the function $\phi(u)$ is bounded, we deduce (A.4). The proof is completed since we have implications (A.4)⇒(A.3)⇒(A.2) ⇒(A.1). □

Lemma A.2. *For F_T given by (3.2), as T tends to infinity, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}[F_T^2] \longrightarrow \sigma^2. \tag{A.5}$$

Proof. First using isometry property, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[F_T^2] = \frac{2}{T}\|\tilde{g}\|^2_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\otimes 2}} =: \frac{2I_T}{T}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_T =& \alpha_H^2 H^{4H-4} \int_{[0,T]^4} \tilde{g}(u_1,v_1)\tilde{g}(u_2,v_2) e^{\left(\frac{1}{H}-1\right)(u_1+v_1+u_2+v_2)} \\ &\times \left|e^{\frac{u_2}{H}} - e^{\frac{u_1}{H}}\right|^{2H-2} \left|e^{\frac{v_2}{H}} - e^{\frac{v_1}{H}}\right|^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}u_1\mathrm{d}u_2\mathrm{d}v_1\mathrm{d}v_2. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\tilde{g}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\theta}e^{-\theta|x-y|} - \frac{1}{2\theta}e^{-\theta(2T-x-y)}.$$

We first show that we can omit the second term $\frac{1}{2\theta}e^{-\theta(2T-x-y)}$ in the function $\tilde{g}$. To see this, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{[0,T]^4} e^{-\theta(2T-u_1-v_1)}\tilde{g}(u_2,v_2) e^{\left(\frac{1}{H}-1\right)(u_1+v_1+u_2+v_2)} \\ &\qquad \times \left|e^{\frac{u_2}{H}} - e^{\frac{u_1}{H}}\right|^{2H-2} \left|e^{\frac{v_2}{H}} - e^{\frac{v_1}{H}}\right|^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}u_1\mathrm{d}u_2\mathrm{d}v_1\mathrm{d}v_2 \\ &\qquad \le C(\theta)\int_{[0,T]^4} e^{-\theta(2T-u_1-v_1)} e^{\left(\frac{1}{H}-1\right)(u_1+v_1+u_2+v_2)} \\ &\qquad \times \left|e^{\frac{u_2}{H}} - e^{\frac{u_1}{H}}\right|^{2H-2} \left|e^{\frac{v_2}{H}} - e^{\frac{v_1}{H}}\right|^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}u_1\mathrm{d}u_2\mathrm{d}v_1\mathrm{d}v_2 \\ &\qquad = C(\theta)\left[\int_0^T\int_0^T e^{-\theta(T-v)+\left(\frac{1}{H}-1\right)(v+u)} \left|e^{\frac{u}{H}} - e^{\frac{v}{H}}\right|^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}u\right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

By change of variables $\tilde{v} = T-v$, $\tilde{u} = T-u$, and then $x = e^{-\frac{\tilde{v}}{H}}$, $y = e^{-\frac{\tilde{u}}{H}}$ this is same as

$$\left[\int_{e^{-\frac{T}{H}}}^1 \int_{e^{-\frac{T}{H}}}^1 x^{(\theta-1)H} y^{-H}|y-x|^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y\right]^2.$$

Let now $x<y$. By change of variables $z = \frac{x}{y}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{e^{-\frac{T}{H}}}^1 \int_{e^{-\frac{T}{H}}}^y x^{(\theta-1)H} y^{-H}|y-x|^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y \\ &\qquad \le \int_0^1\int_0^1 y^{\theta H-1} z^{(\theta-1)H}(1-z)^{2H-2}\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}y \\ &\qquad \le \frac{1}{\theta H}B((\theta-1)H+1, 2H-1) \end{aligned}$$

which converges to zero when divided with T and let T tends to infinity. The case $x>y$, can be treated in a similar way. Hence it is sufficient to consider the function

$$\frac{1}{2\theta}e^{-\theta|x-y|}$$

instead of $\tilde{g}(x,y)$. We shall use L'Hopital's rule to compute the limit. Taking derivative with respect to T, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}I_T}{\mathrm{d}T} = \frac{\alpha_H^2 H^{4H-4}}{\theta^2} \int_{[0,T]^3} & e^{-\theta|T-u_1|} e^{-\theta|u_2-v_2|} e^{\left(\frac{1}{H}-1\right)(T+u_1+u_2+v_2)} \\ & \times \left| e^{\frac{u_2}{H}} - e^{\frac{u_1}{H}} \right|^{2H-2} \left| e^{\frac{T}{H}} - e^{\frac{v_1}{H}} \right|^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}u_1 \mathrm{d}u_2 \mathrm{d}v_1 \mathrm{d}v_2.\end{aligned}$$

By change of variables $x = T - u_1$, $y = T - u_2$ and $z = T - v_1$, this reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}I_T}{\mathrm{d}T} = \frac{\alpha_H^2 H^{4H-4}}{\theta^2} \int_{[0,T]^3} & e^{-\theta x} e^{-\theta|y-z|} e^{\left(1-\frac{1}{H}\right)(x+y+z)} \\ & \times \left(1 - e^{-\frac{y}{H}}\right)^{2H-2} \left| e^{-\frac{x}{H}} - e^{-\frac{z}{H}} \right|^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}z \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}I_T}{\mathrm{d}T} = \frac{\alpha_H^2 H^{4H-4}}{\theta^2} \int_{[0,\infty)^3} & e^{-\theta x} e^{-\theta|y-z|} e^{\left(1-\frac{1}{H}\right)(x+y+z)} \\ & \times \left(1 - e^{-\frac{y}{H}}\right)^{2H-2} \left| e^{-\frac{x}{H}} - e^{-\frac{z}{H}} \right|^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}z \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y.\end{aligned}$$

We end the proof by showing that the later triple integral, denoted by I, is finite. Use the obvious bound $e^{-\theta|z-y|} \le 1$, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}I \le & \int_{[0,\infty)^3} e^{-\theta x} e^{\left(1-\frac{1}{H}\right)(x+y+z)} \\ & \times \left(1 - e^{-\frac{y}{H}}\right)^{2H-2} \left| e^{-\frac{x}{H}} - e^{-\frac{z}{H}} \right|^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}z \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \\ = & \left[\int_0^\infty e^{\left(1-\frac{1}{H}\right)y} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{y}{H}}\right)^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}y \right] \\ & \times \left[\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta x} e^{\left(1-\frac{1}{H}\right)(x+z)} \left| e^{-\frac{x}{H}} - e^{-\frac{z}{H}} \right|^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}z \mathrm{d}x \right] \\ = & I_1 \times I_2.\end{aligned}$$

For the term I_1, we obtain by change of variable $u = e^{-\frac{y}{H}}$ that

$$I_1 = C \int_0^1 u^{-H} (1-u)^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}u < \infty.$$

For the term I_2, we obtain by change of variables $u = e^{-\frac{x}{H}}$ and $v = e^{-\frac{z}{H}}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}I_2 &= C \int_0^1 \int_0^1 u^{(\theta-1)H} v^{-H} |u-v|^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v \\ &= \left[\int_0^1 \int_0^u + \int_0^1 \int_u^1 \right] u^{(\theta-1)H} v^{-H} |u-v|^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}u \\ &= I_{2,1} + I_{2,2}.\end{aligned}$$

For the term $I_{2,1}$, we obtain by change of variable $z = \frac{v}{u}$ that

$$I_{2,1} = C \int_0^1 u^{\theta H - 1} \int_0^1 z^{-H} (1-z)^{2H-2} \mathrm{d}z \mathrm{d}u = \frac{1}{\theta H} B(1-H, 2H-1).$$

Similarly for the term $I_{2,2}$, we get by change of variable $z = \frac{u}{v}$ that

$$I_{2,2} = \frac{1}{\theta H} B((\theta - 1)H + 1, 2H - 1).$$

□

References

[1] Alos, E., Mazet O., Nualart D. (2001) Stochastic Calculus with respect to Gaussian processes. *The Annal of Probability*, Vol. **29**, no.2, 766-801.

[2] Azmoodeh, E., Morlanes, I. (2013) Drift parameter estimation for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind, *arXiv:1302.6047*.

[3] Bercu, B., Coutin, L., Savy, N. (2011) Sharp large deviations for the fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. *Teor. Veroyatn. Primen.*, Vol. **55**, no. 4, 732-771, translation in *Theory Probab. Appl.*, Vol. **55**, no. 4, 575-610.

[4] Brouste, A., Iacus, S. M. (2012) Parameter estimation for the discretely observed fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process and the Yuima R package. *Computational Statistics.* DOI 10.1007/s00180-012-0365-6

[5] Cheridito P., Kawaguchi H., Maejima M. (2003) Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *Electric Journal of Probability*, Vol. **8**, 1-14.

[6] Coeurjolly J-F. (2001) Estimating the Parameters of a Fractional Brownian Motion by Discrete Variations of its Sample Paths. *Stat. Inference Stoch. Process.*, Vol. **4**, 199-227.

[7] Hu, Y., Nualart, D. (2010) Parameter estimation for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* Vol. **80**, no.11-12, 1030-1038.

[8] Istas, J., Lang, G. (1997) Quadratic variations and estimation of the local hölder index of a gaussian process. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré.* Vol. **23**(4), 407-436.

[9] Kaarakka T., Salminen P. (2011) On fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *Commun. Stoch. Anal.*, Vol. **5**, no.1, 121-133.

[10] Kleptsyna, M. L., Le Breton, A. Statistical analysis of the fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type process. *Stat. Inference Stoch. Process.*, Vol. **5**, no. 3, 229-248.

[11] Lifshits, M. A. (1995) *Gaussian random functions.* Mathematics and its Applications, 322. Kluwer Academic Publishers.

[12] Neuenkirch, A., Tindel, S. (2011) A least square-type procedure for parameter estimation in stochastic differential equations with additive fractional noise. *arXiv:1111.1816* .

[13] Ninness, B. (2012) Strong Laws of Large Numbers Under Weak Assumptions with Application. *Technical Report EE9881, University of Newcastle.*

[14] Nourdin, I., Peccati, G. (2012) *Normal Approximations Using Malliavin Calculus: from Stein's Method to Universality.* Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University.

[15] Nualart D. (2006) *The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics.* Probability and its Application. Springer.

[16] Nualart, D., Ortiz-Latorre, S. (2008) Central limit theorems for multiple stochastic integrals and Malliavin calculus. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* Vol. **118**, 614-628.

[17] Nualart, D., and Răşcanu, A. (2002). Differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motion. *Collect. Math.*, **53**, 55-81.

[18] N. M. Temme (1996) *Special Functions: An Introduction to the Classical Functions of Mathematical Physics.* Wiley-Interscience Press.

[19] Xiao, W., Zhang, W., Xu, W. (2011) Parameter estimation for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes at discrete observation. *Appl. Math. Model.* Vol. **35**, no. 9, 4196-4207.

Lauri Viitasaari, Department of Mathematics and System Analysis, P.O. Box 11100, FIN-00076 AALTO, FINLAND

Ehsan Azmoodeh, Faculté des Sciences, de la Technologie et de la Communication, Université du Luxembourg, P.O. Box L-1359 LUXEMBOURG.